

RECEIVED

551167

OCT 04 2001



Thomas.P.Luedeke@fakeaddress.net on 10/03/2001 09:29:42 AM

To: psse@notes.ypm.gov, bonnie.fogdall@notes.ypm.gov
cc:

Subject: PSSE Comment

Part of Records Package / Supplement / Correction

October 03, 2001 09:29:42

IP address: 65.15.212.154

---> Commentors Name: Mr. Thomas P Luedeke
---> Organization: Framatome ANP
---> Position: Engineer

---> The Commentors Address:
---> 3553 Bethel Drive #2
---> WEst Lafayette, Indiana 47906

---> Email Information:
---> Thomas_Luedeke@nfuel.com
---> Add commentor to the mailing list : no

---> Contact Information:
---> fax number : -
---> phone number : 765-4634434
---> organization : Framatome ANP
---> position : Engineer

--> Comment Text :
Dear Secretary of Energy

For about two decades, there have been in-depth scientific studies of Yucca Mountain. The studies have covered hydrology, geology, seismology and more.

Throughout these studies, teams of scientists have found no evidence that would disqualify Yucca Mountain as a site for a permanent repository for high level radioactive waste.

This research has been continually reviewed by regulatory, advisory and scientific peer groups.

Earlier reports, such as those from the National Academy of Sciences and the DOE's draft environmental impact statement, have presented a strong case for the development of a repository as the safest and most economical way to dispose of used fuel rods from commercial nuclear power plants and radioactive waste from government use.

Storing high level radioactive waste at one location is a better option than continuing to accumulate used fuel at more than 70 sites around the country.

The proposed repository would have specially engineered containers for holding high level radioactive waste materials and a robust combination of engineered and natural barriers to protect the environment.

The transportation casks for fuel rods, designed and built to withstand rigors, have been put through exhaustive testing, withstanding even the destructive forces of a speeding locomotive. Since the mid-1960s, an outstanding safety record has been compiled with about 3,000 shipments of used fuel.

While scientists and government leaders investigate recycling of used fuel and other advanced methods for treating radioactive waste, it is clear that there will still be a need for a repository for the radioactive byproducts of these processes.

Legislation enacted in 1982 provided that in exchange for payments into the Nuclear Waste Fund by electricity consumers, the Department of Energy would begin taking spent fuel from utilities by January 31, 1998. That date came and went. Although three federal courts have reaffirmed that DOE has a legal obligation to accept spent fuel, it has accepted none. And, this is

despite the fact that consumers of electricity generated by nuclear energy have committed \$16 billion to the Nuclear Waste Fund to pay for the repository.

For the reasons described above, and those pertaining to public health, security, and common sense, I urge the Secretary of Energy to recommend in the strongest possible terms to the President of the United States that the Yucca Mountain site be named as the national waste repository. It won't make everyone happy, but in the long run it will serve us best.

Respectfully your

Thomas Luedeke
